



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Ultrasonic cleaning reduces the residual monomer in acrylic resins



Taksid Charasseangpaisarn^{a,b}, Chairat Wiwatwarrapan^{a,c*},
Nonthida Leklerssiriwong^d

^a Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

^b Department of Dentistry, Khon Kaen Hospital, Khon Kaen, Thailand

^c Developing Research Unit in Dental Polymeric Materials in Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

^d Department of Dentistry, Nan Hospital, Nan, Thailand

Received 8 May 2016; Final revision received 10 July 2016

Available online 14 November 2016

KEYWORDS

acrylic resins;
chromatography;
high pressure liquid;
methyl methacrylate
monomer;
time;
ultrasonic

Abstract *Background/purpose:* The residual monomer remaining in acrylic resin can cause an allergic reaction and is toxic to oral soft tissue. This study determined the effect of the duration of ultrasonic cleaning on the amount of residual methyl methacrylate monomer in one heat-polymerized acrylic resin, Meliodent, and three autopolymerized acrylic resins, Unifast Trad Ivory, Unifast Trad Pink, and Unifast III.

Materials and methods: Thirty-six disc-shaped specimens of each brand were prepared and randomly divided into six groups: control (no treatment), positive control, and ultrasonic treatment in 50°C water for 3 minutes, 5 minutes, 10 minutes, or 15 minutes. The residual monomer was extracted and analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography.

Results: There were no significant differences in the residual monomer amount in the Meliodent groups. The amounts of residual monomer in the autopolymerized acrylic resin positive control group and ultrasonic treatment groups were significantly lower than those of the control group for the Unifast Trad Ivory, Unifast Trad Pink, and Unifast III groups ($P < 0.05$). The amount of residual monomer was not significantly different between the ultrasonic treatment in 50°C water (3 minutes for Unifast Trad Pink and 5 minutes for Unifast Trad Ivory and Unifast III) groups and the positive control group ($P > 0.05$).

* Corresponding author. Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University, 34 Henri-Dunant Rd, Patumwan, Bangkok, Thailand, 10330.

E-mail address: Chairat.w@chula.ac.th (C. Wiwatwarrapan).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2016.07.003>

1991-7902/Copyright © 2016, Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).